

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/574,701	04/05/2006	Andrzej Farnik	287256US6PCT	1869		
OBLON SPIX	7590 04/10/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			BARNES-BULLOC	BARNES-BULLOCK, CRYSTAL JOY		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2121			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/10/2008	FI ECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,701	FARNIK, ANDRZEJ		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Crystal J. Barnes-Bullock	2121		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED	14 March 2008	FAILS TO PLAC	E THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was	filed after a fina	rejection, but pri	or to or on the sa	ame day as filing a	a Notice of Appeal.	To avoid abandor

application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- In proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 Imprise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Crystal J. Barnes-Bullock/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner interprets the center-to-center distances A1 at the input and A2 at the output as the absolute separation value of the leveling rolls. The Bonnefort et al. reference discloses [i]t is thus possible, by acting separately or simultaneously on screw jacks 64 and 66, to adjust the imbrications of rollers at the input and output of multi-roller assembly 5 in order to set up a progressively degressive imbrication of the rollers in the strip feed direction, as shown in FIG. 3. In general, by acting in a concerted way on adjustment jacks 64 and 66, it is possible to adjust the center-to-center distances A1 at the input and A2 at the output of the planisher in order to modify the intensity and, possibly, the number of reversed bendings, in the manner; and shown in FIG. 3. Each positioning means 71 to 75, comprises a regulator receiving a positioning order furnished by a process control system 8 on a first input 71a to 75a, and, and on a second input 71b to 75b, a signal furnished by a measuring device M1 to M5 indicating the respective positions of the corresponding devices at all times, enabling the regulator to immediately command the correction needed in order to adapt the effect of the device in question to the command given at the same time by the automatic system 8. Since these corrections are made while the strip is winding, the operator acts, preferably, on the less sensitive actuators that provide much larger adjustment latitude. In particular, the operator can first of all vary imprication A2 at the output of the multi-roller assembly to increase or reduce the number and intensity of degressive bendings and, if the need arises, to act on imbrication A1 at the input, this adjustment being more sensitive. The detection of longitudinal or transversal camber faults can lead to a correction on the imbrications, in priority on imbrication A2 on the downside of multi-roller assembly 5 and, if the need arises, on imbrication A1 at the input or on the imbrication of the anti-transversal camber device 4 when this is used.